

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,128	09/10/2003	David G. Therrien	25452-016	3561
30623 75	12/12/2005		EXAMINER	
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY			CHUNG, PHUNG M	
AND POPEO, I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			L	TATER NOMBER
BOSTON, MA	02111		2138 DATE MAILED: 12/12/2004	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/659,128	THERRIEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phung My Chung	2138			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		,			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This      Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 4-12 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/24/04.	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/659,128

Art Unit: 2138

1. Claims 4-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 4, line 9, "recovering the file from another node" is vague and indefinite. It is not clear how the file is recovered from another node.

As per claims 5-11, these claims are rejected because they dependent upon the rejected base claim.

As per claim 12, lines 17-20, "a replicator service... to manage the process of recovering the file from another node" it is unclear how the replicator manage the process of recovering the file from another node.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Page 3

(6,324,661).

Gerbault et al disclose a method for managing integrity faults, comprising:

Performing a content checksum of a file in a first repository node to obtain a first

checksum and storing the first checksum in the first repository node (col. 4, lines

13-21);

Re-performing the content checksum on the file to obtain a second checksum

(col. 4, lines 25-27) and comparing the second checksum with the first checksum

(col. 4, lines 27-29); and

If the second checksum does not equal the first checksum, then recovering the

file from another node (abstract, col. 4, lines 43-47).

4. Claims 1-3 are allowable.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phung My Chung whose telephone number is 571-272-

3818. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,128 Page 4

Art Unit: 2138

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phung My Chung

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2138